

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,693		10/17/2003	Edward Flory	27475/06642	2692
24024	7590	09/20/2005		EXAMI	INER
		& GRISWOLD	BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO		
800 SUPER SUITE 1400		NUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAN		44114	3676	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/605,693	FLORY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Suzanne Dino Barrett	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/3/0	05.7/1/05.						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-18,24 and 25</u> is/ard							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Burea		ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	d					
oce the attached detailed office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive	u.					
Attachmont/c)							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Bones No(a) Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

A

Application/Control Number: 10/605,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 19-23 in the reply filed on 7/1/05 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 5-18,24,25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/1/05.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in paragraph [0040], line 6, change "Figure 26" to --Figure 24--, since the ball bearing opening 23a does not appear in Fig.26; line 9, change "58" to -53--; line 20, after "piece", change "the" to -that--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application

. .

Application/Control Number: 10/605,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 19,20,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walby 6,708,538. Walby teaches a combination lock having a dial 18 with a key cylinder 20/16 and cam arrangement 32A mounted therein and wherein the key and cam 32A provide the combination changing means. The combination is changed by rotating the key to disengage the dial pin 38 from the cam drive holes 32A/40 to allow rotation of the dial to a new position indicated by a marker on the front face of the dial 18. The claimed method steps are considered inherent to the use of the combination changing means as set forth in Figures 22-26 and col.7. line 36-col.8, line 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/605,693 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walby '538 in view of Hermann 3,383,886. Walby fails to teach an offset key cylinder lock. Hermann teaches a combination dial and key cylinder lock wherein the key cylinder 6/8 is offset from the combination dial center portion 1,2. It would have been obvious to modify the shape of the combination dial to provide an offset key cylinder hole as taught by Hermann as an obvious matter of design choice.
- 8. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walby '538. Walby fails to specifically teach the number of available combination code changes, however, it would have been well known to one of ordinary skill in the lock art to provide the combination lock with enough code disks needed to provide the desired number of code changes, such as ten changes, as an obvious matter of design choice.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the various key and combination locks, especially Berkowitz '557, Bell '781.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 571-272-7053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,693

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suzanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676 Page 5

sdb